

# **Cambridge Assessment International Education**

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

PSYCHOLOGY 9698/21

Paper 2 Core Studies 2

October/November 2018

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 70

## **Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2018 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.



# **Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

#### **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:**

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

#### **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:**

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

#### **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:**

#### Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
  is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
  referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

## **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:**

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

## **GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:**

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2018 Page 2 of 12

Question	Answer		Marks
1	Piliavin et al. (subway Samaritans) conducted a field experimen diffusion of responsibility. An alternative way to collect data we conduct a study using a self report on students.		
1(a)	Describe the features of the self-report method.		5
	Any five correct points.  1 mark for each point up to a maximum of five points.  Indicative content: Used to gain insight into the thoughts, beliefs and feelings of particip Open/closed questions.  Qualitative/quantitative data.	oants.	
	Likert scale questions. Questionnaires/interviews		
	Interviews can be structured/semi-structured.		
1(b)	Design an alternative investigation into diffusion of responsibiliusing a self report on students, and describe how it could be conducted.	ity	10
	Candidates will most likely describe either an interview and/or quest conducted on students.	ionnaire	
	Candidates need to describe the who, what, where and how.		
	Major omissions include the <i>what</i> and <i>how</i> . Candidates must describe questions asked to the students and at least an indication of how this information would be collected (e.g. interview/questionnaire)		
	Minor omissions include who and where.		
	Alternative study is incomprehensible.	0	
	Alternative study is muddled and impossible to conduct.	1–2	
	Alternative study is muddled but possible and/or there are major omissions.	3–4	
	Alternative study is clear with 2+ minor omissions.	5–6	
	Alternative study is described with one minor omission and in some detail.	7–8	
	Alternative study is described in sufficient detail to be replicable.	9–10	

© UCLES 2018 Page 3 of 12

Question	Answer		Marks
1(c)	Evaluate this alternative way of studying diffusion of responsib methodological and ethical terms.	oility in	10
	Candidates need to consider a number of points regarding their students and the positive and/or negative.	dy.	
	Appropriate points could include a discussion about: Strengths and weaknesses of self-report data (e.g. quick, validity, reetc.) Participants may not tell the truth (issues of validity) Strengths and weaknesses of qualitative/quantitative data collected Researcher bias Difficulties with accessing participant group Generalisability	,	
	Ethics: Issues of consent, right to withdraw and debrief, should be easy to of May need to deceive participants Unlikely to harm participants with questions asked but depends on ristudy described in part (b)		
	No evaluation.	0	
	Evaluation is muddled and weak.	1–2	
	Evaluation is simplistic and not specific to the investigation. May include one point that is brief and specific to the investigation.	3–4	
	Evaluation is simplistic but specific to the investigation (may include general evaluation). May include one detailed point.	5–6	
	Evaluation is good and specific to the investigation. Two or more points that cover both a methodological <b>and</b> an ethical issue.	7–8	
	Evaluation is detailed and directly relevant to the investigation. Two or more points that cover both a methodological <b>and</b> an ethical issue.	9–10	

© UCLES 2018 Page 4 of 12

Question	Answer	Marks
2	Maguire et al. conducted a study to investigate activation of the right hippocampus in the brains of taxi drivers.	
2(a)	What is meant by the term 'generalisations'?	2
	1 mark partial, 2 marks full.	
	Indicative content: Generalisations are possible where the study is realistic or has a good	
	sample. – 1 mark The extent to which one group's results can be applied to the target/general	
	population. – 2 marks The extent to which a study is realistic and can be applied to everyday situations. – 2 marks	
2(b)	Describe <u>one</u> generalisation that can be made from the study by Maguire et al.	3
	1–2 marks partial, 3 marks full.	
	Indicative content: The right hippocampus was activated more during the route in London task OR during route tasks the right hippocampus will be more active – 1 mark	
	The right hippocampus was activated more during the route in London task which shows that the special topographical experiences that the taxi drivers have affects the activity of the brain OR during route tasks the right hippocampus will be more active and therefore experience affects activity of the brain. – 2 marks	
	The right hippocampus was activated more during the route in London task which shows that the special topographical experiences that the taxi drivers have affects the activity of the brain. This means we can generalise to other people who might have similar experiences also will have a right hippocampus that activates during these type of tasks. – 3 marks	

© UCLES 2018 Page 5 of 12

Question	Answer		Marks
2(c)	Discuss the strengths and weaknesses of making generalisation the study by Maguire et al.	ns from	10
	Appropriate strengths and weaknesses will be varied and need to lin to generalisations – except for representativeness and ecological va which are already linked.		
	These could include:		
	<ul> <li>Strengths</li> <li>Ecological validity – as the tasks are something that we do think in our everyday lives. People also do have PET scans.</li> <li>Usefulness – to medical profession as it highlights how activity in brain can be affected by our life experiences.</li> <li>Validity and reliability – improved by scientific equipment used.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Weaknesses</li> <li>Ecological validity – was poor as the tasks of thinking about rou plots, etc. while in a PET scanner are unrealistic.</li> <li>Usefulness – poor due to the weaknesses of the study.</li> <li>Validity – poor due possible demand characteristics and/or quainature of study.</li> <li>Representativeness – is poor due to it being just taxi drivers and 11, just being males.</li> </ul>	ntitative	
	No comment on the strengths and weaknesses of the situational explanation.	0	
	Comment given but muddled and weak.	1–2	
	Consideration of at least a strength and a weakness not specific to investigation  OR Consideration of either a strength/weakness that is specific to situational explanation and investigation (could be two strengths and/or two weaknesses on its own).	3–4	
	Consideration of two or more points (at least one strength and one weakness) which are clear and specific to investigation.	5–6	
	Consideration of at least two strengths and two weaknesses which are clear and specific to investigation.	7–8	
	Consideration of at least two strengths and two weaknesses which are good and directly relevant to the investigation.	9–10	

© UCLES 2018 Page 6 of 12

Question	Answer		Marks
2(d)	Compare the physiological approach with <u>one</u> other approach i psychology, using studies as examples.	n	10
	Indicative content: Candidates may describe/evaluate approaches with no comparison These candidates can achieve up to 4 marks maximum.	point.	
	Appropriate comments: Both are useful. Both are reductionist (or one is reductionist and the other holistic) Both do studies that can have good ecological validity (or one is good the other is poor) Both are deterministic (or one is deterministic and the other shows from time period when developed in psychology. Comparison of the samples typically used.		
	Any other appropriate comment.		
	No comment on comparison of approaches.  Comment given but muddled and weak.	1–2	
	Comparison of approaches but not specific to investigation(s)  OR Consideration of comparisons of approaches which is simplistic but specific to investigation.	3–4	
	Consideration of comparison of approaches which is simplistic but specific to investigation  OR Consideration of comparison of approaches which is detailed and specific to investigation.	5–6	
	Consideration of comparison of approaches which is good but brief and specific to investigation.  OR Consideration of one comparison issue which is detailed and directly relevant to the investigation and the other issue is more simplistic.	7–8	
	Consideration of comparison of approaches which is detailed and directly relevant to the investigation. Two or more comparison issues.	9–10	

© UCLES 2018 Page 7 of 12

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	What is meant by the term 'reliability' in psychology?	2
	1 mark partial, 2 marks full.	
	1 mark: Reliability is about getting the same results Reliability is about using the same method Reliability is about having controls.	
	2 marks: Reliability is the consistency of the measuring device.	

© UCLES 2018 Page 8 of 12

Question	Answer		Marks
Using the s	studies from the list below, answer the questions which follow:		
	rgroup categorisation) Riley (mirror gazing) bedience)		
3(b)	Describe how the data were collected in each of these studies.		9
	Indicative content: Most likely answers (any appropriate answer recei credit):	ives	
	<b>Tajfel:</b> Participants completed matrices of rewards of points to both in and out-group members (study 1). This was done after participants w told which group they belonged to (over-/under-estimator and Klee/Kandinsky). The matrices were called a reward and punishment The boys awarded points on the basis of maximum joint profit, maxim group profit and maximum difference (study 2).	ere matrix.	
	<b>Veale and Riley:</b> BDD and control patients completed a mirror gazing questionnaire. Questions focused on length of time mirror gazing, motivation before looking in the mirror, focus of attention, distress befand after looking in front of mirror, behaviour in front of the mirror, typ light preferred, types of reflective surfaces and mirror avoidance.	fore	
	Milgram: How far up the shock generator was recorded, participants videotaped and their behaviour and comments were recorded (e.g. so sweating, etc.). Participants were given a 14 point scale to rate how put the shocks were at the end of the study.	eizure,	
	For each study		
	No answer or incorrect answer.	0	
	Identification of point relevant to question but not related to study or comment from study but no point about data collection from the study. The description may be very brief or muddled.	1	
	Description of point about data collection from the study. A clear description that may lack some detail.	2	
	As above but with analysis (comment with comprehension) about data collection from the study. A clear description that is in sufficient detail.	3	
	Max mark	9	

© UCLES 2018 Page 9 of 12

Question	Answer		Mark
3(c)	What problems may psychologists have when they try to make t studies reliable?	heir	
	Emphasis on problem. Answers supported with named (or other) student Each problem does not need a different study; can use same study.	lies.	
	Indicative content: Difficult to control. Difficult to have a very standardised procedure without losing ecologic validity. Difficult to find the same sample again (or one very similar). If procedure very standardised it may come across as fake, therefore validity, increase risk of demand characteristics.  Any other appropriate problem.		
	Marks per point up to a MAXIMUM of three points.		
	No answer or incorrect answer.	0	
	Identification of problem.	1	
	Description of problem related to reliability <b>OR</b> a weak description of problem related to reliability.	2	
	Description of problem related to reliability and applied to the study effectively.	3	

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	Outline what is meant by the 'developmental approach' in psychology.	2
	1 mark partial, 2 marks full	
	The developmental approach is the study of childhood. – 1 mark The developmental approach is the study of how behaviour changes as we age. – 2 marks.	
	Appropriate responses could also include assumptions of the developmental approach.	

© UCLES 2018 Page 10 of 12

Question	Answer		Marks
Using the s	studies from the list below, answer the questions which follow:		
Nelson (ch	al. (aggression) ildren's morals) t al. (infant facial preference)		
4(b)	Describe how the developmental processes were measured in ethese studies.	each of	9
	Indicative content: Most likely answers (any appropriate answer rece credit):	eives	
	Bandura et al.: Via a laboratory experiment with independent measurant matched pairs design. Data collected through a one-way mirror. Chilobserved by two observers for imitative and non-imitative behaviour, were observed in five second intervals. Qualitative data was also col from some of the children about comments made about what they have witnessed after the study was completed.	dren They llected	
	<b>Nelson:</b> Via a laboratory experiment with independent measures desparticipants told one of three story presentation conditions (verbal or picture-motive implicit and picture-motive explicit) and were told all for stories. The children had to say whether the little boy in the story was good boy, bad boy or just okay. They also had to indicate how good the boy was by pointing to one of the faces. They were then told to to story aloud exactly as they had heard it. If any motive or outcome informitted specific questions were asked to elicit this info.	nly, our s a or bad ell the	
	Langlois et al.: Via a laboratory experiment with repeated measures design. Babies' mothers wore glasses and a light/buzzing noise was attract the infants' attention to the screen. The babies were timed on long they gazed at the colour slides of the adult women and adult meaning their visual fixations were recorded on a video monitor.	used to how	
	For each study		
	No answer or incorrect answer.	0	
	Identification of point relevant to question but not related to study or comment from study but no point about how development processes were investigated. The description may be very brief or muddled.	1	
	Description of point about how developmental processes were investigated from the study. (Comment with lack of understanding.) A clear description that may lack some detail.	2	
	As above but with analysis (comment with comprehension) about how developmental processes were investigated. A clear description that is in sufficient detail.	3	
	Max mark	9	

© UCLES 2018 Page 11 of 12

Question	Answer		Marks
4(c)	What are the advantages of investigating the developmental approach?		9
	Emphasis on strength. Answers supported with named (or other) stu Each strength does not need a different study; can use same study.	idies.	
	Indicative content: Children are easy to find via schools/crèches/etc. Usefulness of research. Can investigate change over time as children develop. Can be ethical if permission sought from parents. Children are very imaginative and will believe in situations more than Explanations are offered of the developmental approach. Any other appropriate strength.	n adults.	
	Marks per point up to a MAXIMUM of three points.		
	No answer or incorrect answer.	0	
	Identification of strength related to developmental approach.	1	
	Description of strength related to investigating developmental approach <b>OR</b> a weak description of a strength related to investigating developmental approach and applied to a study.	2	
	Description of advantage related to investigating developmental approach and applied to the study effectively.	3	
	Max mark	9	

© UCLES 2018 Page 12 of 12