

Cambridge O Level

ENGLISH LANGUAGE

Paper 1 Writing MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 60 1123/12 May/June 2020

Published

Students did not sit exam papers in the June 2020 series due to the Covid-19 global pandemic.

This mark scheme is published to support teachers and students and should be read together with the question paper. It shows the requirements of the exam. The answer column of the mark scheme shows the proposed basis on which Examiners would award marks for this exam. Where appropriate, this column also provides the most likely acceptable alternative responses expected from students. Examiners usually review the mark scheme after they have seen student responses and update the mark scheme if appropriate. In the June series, Examiners were unable to consider the acceptability of alternative responses, as there were no student responses to consider.

Mark schemes should usually be read together with the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers. However, because students did not sit exam papers, there is no Principal Examiner Report for Teachers for the June 2020 series.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2020 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[™] and Cambridge International A & AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Questio	n Answer	Marks			
The assessment objectives for Sections 1 and 2 are:					
Assessm	ent Objectives for Writing (AO1)				
W1	Articulate experience and express what is thought, felt and imagined				
W2	Sequence facts, ideas and opinions				
W3	Use a range of appropriate vocabulary				
W4	Use register appropriate to audience and context				
W5	Make accurate use of spelling, punctuation and grammar				

Assessment Objectives for Reading (AO2)

R1	Demonstrate understanding of explicit meanings
R2	Demonstrate understanding of implicit meanings and attitudes

Detailed Marking Instructions for Section 1: Directed Writing.

Candidates are expected to:

- 1 write a letter which communicates information clearly, accurately and economically
- 2 carry out the instructions as detailed on the question paper regarding the particular information required. Candidates will be awarded up to 15 marks for following the task instructions and up to 15 marks for the language used.

Total marks for Section 1: 30.

In order to fulfil these assessment objectives in Section 1, a 'best fit' principle is applied using the Task Fulfilment and Language band descriptors.

Notation used in Section 1 to indicate where required information is addressed:

1

3

which team or group you lead and why it is successful

the qualities and skills a member of the team or group must have

how someone who joins the group will benefit as a member.

Cambridge O Level – Mark Scheme PUBLISHED

	Answer	Marks
	Section 1	
1	Your school has many extra-curricular activities. These include a debating team, music groups, sports teams and others. You are the leader of one of them. Your teacher asks you to make a speech to your classmates to inform them about your team or group.	
	Write your speech. You must include the following:	
	 which team or group you lead and why it is successful the qualities and skills a member of the team or group must have how someone who joins the group will benefit as a member. 	
	Cover all three points above in detail. You should make your speech informative and interesting. Start your speech 'Good morning, everyone.'	
	Detailed Marking Instructions for Section 2: Composition	I
Section 2	Question	
Section 2	Question	
	s are advised to write between 350 and 500 words.	
	s are advised to write between 350 and 500 words.	
Candidates	s are advised to write between 350 and 500 words.	
Candidates Descriptic	 are advised to write between 350 and 500 words. Describe two places which you have been to with your friends, one which you all liked and one which you all disliked. (Remember that you are describing the atmosphere and any people as well as the place). 	
Candidates Descriptic 2	 are advised to write between 350 and 500 words. Describe two places which you have been to with your friends, one which you all liked and one which you all disliked. (Remember that you are describing the atmosphere and any people as well as the place). 	
Candidates Descriptic 2 Argument	s are advised to write between 350 and 500 words. Describe two places which you have been to with your friends, one which you all liked and one which you all disliked. (Remember that you are describing the atmosphere and any people as well as the place). If you could give your school new sports equipment or new IT equipment,	
Candidates Descriptio 2 Argument 3	 are advised to write between 350 and 500 words. Describe two places which you have been to with your friends, one which you all liked and one which you all disliked. (Remember that you are describing the atmosphere and any people as well as the place). If you could give your school new sports equipment or new IT equipment, which would you choose? Give reasons and examples to support your view. What are the advantages and disadvantages of having longer school days 	
Candidates Descriptio 2 Argument 3 4	 are advised to write between 350 and 500 words. Describe two places which you have been to with your friends, one which you all liked and one which you all disliked. (Remember that you are describing the atmosphere and any people as well as the place). If you could give your school new sports equipment or new IT equipment, which would you choose? Give reasons and examples to support your view. What are the advantages and disadvantages of having longer school days 	

Cambridge O Level – Mark Scheme PUBLISHED

Question		Answer	Marks		
Section 1 Task Fulfilment 15 marks					
Band 5	 13–15 Very good understanding of purpose. Clear awareness of the specified situation and audience. Text type entirely appropriate. All required points developed in detail, fully amplified and well organised. Given information well used to justify personal opinion and interpretation. Tone and register entirely appropriate. 				
Band 4	10–12	 Good understanding of purpose. An awareness of the specified situation and audience. Text type appropriate. All required points addressed not always developed in detail Given information organised to support personal opinion. Tone and register appropriate. 	Ι.		
Band 3	7–9	 Some understanding of purpose. Some awareness of the specified situation and audience. Text type generally appropriate. At least two required points addressed (both partially/fully de Given information may not be logically organised to support Tone usually appropriate although there may be slips of regime to the superior of the	rt opinion.		
Band 2	4–6	 Only partial understanding of purpose. Some confusion as to the specified situation and audience. Text type may be inappropriate. At least one required point addressed (partially/fully develop Given information may be used irrelevantly. Tone and register may be uneven. 	ed).		
Band 1	1–3	 Misunderstanding of purpose. Confusion as to the specified situation and audience. Little evidence of the specified text type. None of the required points addressed. Given information misunderstood or irrelevant. Tone may be inappropriate. 			
Band 0	0	Insufficient to meet the criteria for Band 1.			

Cambridge O Level – Mark Scheme PUBLISHED

Question		Answer	Marks		
Section 1	Section 1 Language 15 marks				
Band 8	14–15	Highly accurate writing, apart from very occasional slips.			
		• Sentence structures varied for particular effects.			
		 Verb forms largely correct and appropriate tenses consisten used. 	itly		
		• Vocabulary wide and precise.			
		• Punctuation accurate and helpful .			
		• Spelling accurate , apart from very occasional slips.			
		• Paragraphs have unity, are linked, and show evidence of plan	nning.		
Band 7	12–13	Accurate writing; occasional errors are either slips or caused b ambition.	У		
		• Sentence structures show some variation to create some natu fluency.	ıral		
		Occasional slips in verb forms or tense formation, but sequences consistent and clear throughout.	ce		
		• Vocabulary precise enough to convey intended shades of m	eaning.		
		• Punctuation accurate and generally helpful.			
		• Spelling nearly always accurate.			
		• Paragraphs have unity , are usually linked , and show some evolution of planning.	vidence		

Question		Answer	Marks
Band 6	10–11	Mostly accurate writing; errors from ambition do not mar clarity communication.	of
		• Some variety of sentence structures, but a tendency to repeat sentence types may produce a monotonous effect.	
		• Errors may occur in irregular verb forms, but control of tense sequence sufficient to sustain clear progression of events or id	eas.
		• Simple vocabulary mainly correct ; errors may occur with more ambitious words.	
		• Punctuation generally accurate and sentence separation corr marked, but errors may occur, e.g. with direct speech.	ectly
		• Spelling of simple vocabulary accurate ; some errors in more ambitious words.	
		• Paragraphs may show some unity , although links may be abser inappropriate.	nt or
Band 5	8–9	Writing is sufficiently accurate to communicate meaning, with patches of clear, accurate language.	
		• Some variety of sentence length and structure, not always for particular purpose.	
		• Errors in verb forms and tense consistency may cause uncerta in sequence of events or disturb ease of communication.	inty
		• Vocabulary usually adequate to convey intended meaning ; id may be uncertain.	liom
Band 5		• Punctuation used but not always helpful; occasional sentence separation errors.	
		• Spelling of simple vocabulary accurate ; errors in more difficult words.	
		• Paragraphs used but may lack unity or coherence.	

Question		Answer Marks
Band 4	6–7	Overall meaning never in doubt, but errors sufficiently frequent and serious to hamper precision and distract reader from content.
		• Some simple sentence structures accurate, but unlikely to sustain accuracy for long.
		• Errors in verb forms and tenses will sometimes confuse sequence of events.
		• Vocabulary limited , either too simple or imperfectly understood; some idiomatic errors likely.
		• Simple punctuation usually accurate , but there may be frequent sentence separation errors.
		• Spelling of simple vocabulary accurate ; frequent errors in more difficult words.
		Paragraphs used haphazardly.
Band 3	4–5	The writing has many serious errors of various kinds of 'single- word' type (i.e. they could be corrected without re-writing the sentence); communication established, although weight of error may cause some 'blurring'.
		• Sentences probably simple and repetitive in structure.
		Frequent errors in verb forms and haphazard changes of tense confuse meaning.
		 Vocabulary conveys meaning but likely to be simple and imprecise; significant idiomatic errors.
		Spelling may be inconsistent.
		• Punctuation and paragraphing may be haphazard or non-existent.
Band 2	2–3	Sense usually decipherable but some errors will be 'multiple' (i.e. requiring the reader to re-read and re-organise); meaning may be partly hidden by density of linguistic error.
		• Unlikely to be more than a few accurate sentences , however simple, in the whole essay.
Band 1	1	Scripts almost entirely or entirely impossible to recognise as pieces of English writing; whole sections make no sense at all.
		• Where occasional patches of relative clarity are evident, 1 mark should be given.
Band 0	0	Insufficient to meet the criteria for Band 1.

Question		Answer	Marks		
Section 2	Section 2 Language 30 marks				
Band 8	27–30	Highly accurate writing, apart from very occasional slips.			
		• Sentence structures varied for particular effects.			
		• Verb forms largely correct and appropriate tenses consistently	used.		
		• Vocabulary wide and precise.			
		Punctuation accurate and helpful.			
		• Spelling accurate , apart from very occasional slips.			
		• Paragraphs have unity, are linked, and show evidence of planning	ng.		
		Appropriateness and Content			
		• Consistently relevant. Interest aroused and sustained.			
		• Tone and register entirely appropriate .			
		 Descriptions have well-developed images helping to create comple atmospheres. 	×		
		Arguments are well developed, logical, even complex.			
		 Narratives are complex, sophisticated, possibly tense, and may condevices such as flashbacks. 	ntain		

Question		Answer	Marks
Band 7	23–26	Accurate writing; occasional errors are either slips or caused by ambition.	
		 Sentence structures show some variation to create some natural fluency. 	
		• Occasional slips in verb forms or tense formation, but sequence consistent and clear throughout.	
		Vocabulary precise enough to convey intended shades of mean	ning.
		Punctuation accurate and generally helpful.	
		Spelling nearly always accurate.	
		 Paragraphs have unity, are usually linked, and show some evide planning. 	ence of
		Appropriateness and Content	
		• Relevant. Interest aroused and mostly sustained.	
		• Tone and register appropriate .	
		 Descriptions have interesting images and a range of detail, helping create effective atmospheres. 	to
		Arguments have clearly defined, cohesive, logical stages in their development	
		Narratives have effective detail creating character or setting, and may c some sense of climax.	contain

Question		Answer	larks
Band 6	19–22	Mostly accurate writing; errors from ambition do not mar clarity of communication.	
		• Some variety of sentence structures, but a tendency to repeat sententy types may produce a monotonous effect.	nce
		• Errors may occur in irregular verb forms, but control of tense sequer sufficient to sustain clear progression of events or ideas.	nce
		• Simple vocabulary mainly correct ; errors may occur with more ambit words.	tious
		• Punctuation generally accurate and sentence separation correctly marked, but errors may occur, e.g. with direct speech.	
		 Spelling of simple vocabulary accurate; some errors in more ambition words. 	ous
		• Paragraphs may show some unity , although links may be absent or inappropriate.	
		Appropriateness and Content	
		• Relevant . Some interest aroused, although there may be some lack o originality and/or planning.	of
		• Tone usually appropriate , although there may be slips of register.	
		 Descriptions have satisfactory images, ideas and details which help to create atmosphere.)
		 Arguments make a series of relevant points, with some being develop linking of ideas may be insecure. 	ed;
		Narratives are straightforward with proper sequencing of sentences.	

Question		Answer	Marks
Band 5	15–18	Writing is sufficiently accurate to communicate meaning, with pate clear, accurate language.	ches of
		• Some variety of sentence length and structure, not always for pa purpose.	rticular
		• Errors in verb forms and tense consistency may cause uncertain sequence of events or disturb ease of communication.	ty in
		 Vocabulary usually adequate to convey intended meaning; idior be uncertain. 	n may
		 Punctuation used but not always helpful; occasional sentence separation errors. 	
		• Spelling of simple vocabulary accurate; errors in more difficult wo	ords.
		• Paragraphs used but may lack unity or coherence.	
		 Appropriateness and Content Attempt to address topic but there may be digressions or failures logic. May lack liveliness and interest. 	of
		• Tone may be uneven.	
		• Descriptions have some detail but may rely too much on narrative.	
		 Arguments have mainly relevant points but may be only partially developed, with some repetition. 	
		 Narratives are largely a series of events with only occasional detail character and setting. 	s of

Question		Answer	Marks
Band 4	11–14	Overall meaning never in doubt, but errors sufficiently frequent ar serious to hamper precision and distract reader from content.	nd
		• Some simple sentence structures accurate, but unlikely to susta accuracy for long.	ain
		• Errors in verb forms and tenses will sometimes confuse sequenterevents.	ce of
		 Vocabulary limited, either too simple or imperfectly understood; so idiomatic errors likely. 	ome
		• Simple punctuation usually accurate , but there may be frequent sentence separation errors.	
		 Spelling of simple vocabulary accurate; frequent errors in more words. 	difficult
		Paragraphs used haphazardly.	
		 Appropriateness and Content Some relevance. Some interest. 	
		Tone may be inconsistent.	
		Descriptions are relevant but lack scope or variety.	
		 Arguments make a few points but development is simple and not a logical; some obvious repetition of ideas. 	lways
		Narratives are simple, everyday or immature.	

Question		Answer Marks			
Band 3	Band 37–10The writing has many serious errors of various kinds of 'single- type (i.e. they could be corrected without re-writing the sentenc communication established, although weight of error may caus 'blurring'.				
		Sentences probably simple and repetitive in structure.			
		Frequent errors in verb forms and haphazard changes of tense confuse meaning.			
		 Vocabulary conveys meaning but likely to be simple and imprecise; significant idiomatic errors. 			
		Spelling may be inconsistent.			
		• Punctuation and paragraphing may be haphazard or non-existent.			
		 Appropriateness and Content A little relevance. A little interest. 			
		Some recognition of appropriate tone.			
		In Descriptions the overall picture is unclear.			
		 In Arguments only a few points are discernible and the argument progresses only here and there. 			
		Narratives are very simple and may narrate events indiscriminately.			

Question		Answer	Marks		
Band 2	3–6	3–6 Sense usually decipherable but some errors will be 'multiple' (i.e. requiring the reader to re-read and re-organise); meaning may be hidden by density of linguistic error.			
		• Unlikely to be more than a few accurate sentences , however sim the whole essay.			
	Appropriateness and Content				
		Little relevance or interest.			
		Tone may be inappropriate.			
		In Descriptions the overall picture is very unclear.			
		In Arguments only a very few points are discernible and the argume barely progresses.	ent		
		Narratives are extremely simple and may narrate events indiscrimin	nately.		
Band 1	1–2	-2 Scripts almost entirely or entirely impossible to recognise as pieces of English writing; whole sections make no sense at all.			
		• Where occasional patches of relative clarity are evident, one mashould be given.	ırk		
		 Appropriateness and Content Arguments are rarely relevant and may well be disordered, as are Descriptions and Narratives. 			
Band 0	0	Insufficient to meet the criteria for Band 1.			