

Cambridge International Examinations

Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

FIRST LANGUAGE ENGLISH

0500/33

Paper 3 Directed Writing and Composition

May/June 2017

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 50

Puk	lis	hed
-----	-----	-----

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is a registered trademark.



Note: All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated. Nonetheless, the content must be clearly related to and derived from the passage.

Section 1: Directed Writing

Question 1

This question tests writing assessment objectives W1 to W5 (15 marks)

W1	articulate ex	perience an	d express	what is	thought,	felt and	imagined

W2 sequence facts, ideas and opinions

W3 use a range of appropriate vocabulary

W4 use register appropriate to audience and context

W5 make accurate use of spelling, punctuation and grammar

and reading assessment objectives R1 to R3 (10 marks)

- R1 demonstrate understanding of explicit meanings
- R2 demonstrate understanding of implicit meanings and attitudes
- **R3** analyse, evaluate and develop facts, ideas and opinions

Write an article intended for young people entitled 'Keeping up: what's it worth?'.

In your article, you should:

- select the relevant arguments in the article to support your views
- develop and evaluate those arguments to make a convincing case, based on what you have read.

Base your article on the passage, but be careful to use your own words. Address each of the three bullet points.

Write about 250 to 350 words.

Up to 10 marks are available for the content of your answer, and up to 15 marks for the quality of your writing.

25

© UCLES 2017 Page 2 of 8

Responses might use the following ideas:

A1 Relevant arguments in defence of young people 'keeping up'

- young people 'relish' the race for newer gadgets
- following fashion is 'part of being young'
- obsolescence is deliberate marketing not young people's fault
- economies depend on it young people are just playing their part
- · recycling industries spring from it

A2 Relevant arguments in opposition to young people 'keeping up'

- most young people don't have to pay the cost of 'keeping up'
- the need to be fashionable is manipulated cynically
- young people are easily seduced by superficial appearances
- · planned obsolescence is very wasteful, immorally so
- it damages the environment
- it damages health in poorer countries

A3 Possible views based on the passage

- it's good that young people drive improvement and innovation
- · planned obsolescence doesn't just affect the young
- · nostalgia for the past / fixing things isn't realistic
- young people should resist being exploited/be more aware of costs
- the role of peer pressure should be recognized / condemned

The discriminator is the evaluation of the arguments, which requires candidates to draw inferences and make judgements about whether the advantages outweigh the disadvantages in this particular case. Ideas and opinions must be derived from the passage, developing its claims and assessing their implications with clear and persuasive arguments.

© UCLES 2017 Page 3 of 8

Table B, Reading:

Use the following table to give a mark out of 10 for Reading.

Band 1	9–10	Gives a thorough, perceptive, convincing response. Reads effectively between the lines. Shows understanding by developing much of the reading material and assimilating it into a response to the task.	
Band 2	7–8	Some evidence of evaluation, engaging with a few of the main points with success. Uses reading material to support the argument. Occasionally effective development of ideas from the passages.	
Band 3	5–6	Reproduces a number of points to make a satisfactory response. The response covers the material adequately, but may miss opportunities to develop it relevantly or at length.	
Band 4	3–4	Selects points from the passages rather literally and / or uses the material thinly. Points should be connected.	
Band 5	1–2	Parts of the response are relevant, though the material may be repeated or used inappropriately.	
Band 6	0	There is very little or no relevance to the question or to the passages, or the response copies unselectively or directly from the passages.	

© UCLES 2017 Page 4 of 8

Section 2: Composition

Questions 2, 3, 4 and 5

This question tests writing assessment objectives W1 to W5 (25 marks)

W1. articulate experience and express what is thought, felt and imagined

W2. sequence facts, ideas and opinions

W3. use a range of appropriate vocabulary

W4. use register appropriate to audience and context

W5. make accurate use of spelling, punctuation and grammar

Write about 350 to 450 words on one of the following questions. Answer on this Question Paper.

Up to 13 marks are available for the content and structure of your answer, and up to 12 marks for the style and accuracy of your writing.

Descriptive Writing

2 Describe a holiday resort at a quiet time.

OR

3 Imagine you have just started a job in a café or restaurant. Describe the place, its customers and your thoughts and feelings as you do your work.

<u>OR</u>

Narrative Writing

4 Write a story with the title, 'The Painting'.

OR

5 Write a story which begins 'A silver moon rose silently into the dark sky, its beauty unnoticed by the lone figure below.'.

© UCLES 2017 Page 5 of 8

Marking criteria for Section 2, Questions 2, 3, 4 and 5

Use table A to give a mark out of 13 for content and structure, and table B to give a mark out of 12 for style and accuracy.

Table A, Composition: Content and structure

		Consumal amittania	Specific criteria			
		General criteria	Descriptive Writing	Narrative Writing		
Band 1	11–13	W1: Content is complex, sophisticated and realistic. W2: Overall structure is secure and the constituent parts well balanced and carefully managed.	Many well-defined and developed ideas and images create a convincing, original, overall picture with varieties of focus.	The plot is convincing with elements of fiction such as description, characterisation and climax, and with cogent detail.		
Band 2	9–10	 W1: Content develops some interesting and realistic features in parts of the writing. W2: Writing is orderly, and beginnings and endings are satisfactorily managed. 	Frequent, well-chosen images and details give an impression of reality, although the overall picture is not consistent.	The plot incorporates some interesting features, but not consistently so: the reader may be aware of the creation of suspense and a sense of climax.		
Band 3	7–8	W1: Content is straightforward with ideas, features and images that satisfactorily address the task; some opportunities for development are taken. W2: Overall structure is competent and some sentences are well sequenced.	A selection of relevant ideas, images and details addresses the task, even where there is a tendency to write a narrative.	The plot is straightforward and cohesive with some identification of features such as character and setting.		
Band 4	5–6	 W1: Content consists of relevant ideas that are briefly developed. W2: Overall structure is easily followed, though some constituent parts are too long or too short to be effective. 	The task is addressed with a series of ordinary details, which may be more typical of a narrative.	Recording of relevant but sometimes unrealistic events outweighs other desirable elements of narrative fiction.		

© UCLES 2017 Page 6 of 8

		Canaral aritaria	Specific criteria		
		General criteria	Descriptive Writing	Narrative Writing	
Band 5	3–4	 W1: Content is simple, and the presentation of ideas and events may only be partially credible. W2: Overall structure is recognizable though paragraphing is inconsistent and sequences of sentences insecure. 	Where a narrative is written, the recording of events may preclude the use of sufficient descriptive detail.	The plot is a simple narrative that may consist of events that are only partially credible or which are presented with partial clarity.	
Band 6	1–2	W1: Content is inconsistent in relevance, interest and clarity.W2: Structure is frequently unclear, revealing a limited grasp of purpose.	Some relevant facts are identified, but the overall picture is unclear and lacks development.	The plot lacks coherence and narrates events indiscriminately.	
Band 7	0	W1: Content is rarely relevant and there is little material.W2: The structure is disorderly.	Individual ideas are not properly communicated and the effect is one of incoherence.	The plot is hard to follow and is only partially relevant.	

© UCLES 2017 Page 7 of 8

Table B, Composition: Style and accuracy

		Writing is consistent, stylistically fluent, linguistically strong and almost always accurate; has sense of audience.
Band 1	11–12	Withing is consistent, stylistically fluctit, illiguistically strong and almost always accurate, has sense of addictice.
Bana i	1	W3: Consistently wide range of appropriate vocabulary.
		W4: Subtle and effective sense of audience; appropriate use of varied sentence structures.
		W5: Spelling, punctuation and grammar almost always accurate.
		Writing is mostly fluent, sometimes linguistically effective and generally accurate; may have some sense of audience.
Band 2	9–10	Withing to mostly machi, comounted inigatotically encourse and generally accurate, may have come cence of addiction.
24.14.2		W3: Obvious attempt to use range of vocabulary to interest the reader.
		W4: Partial or inferred sense of audience, with appropriate sentence structures.
		W5: Spelling, punctuation and grammar mainly accurate.
		Writing is clear, competent, if plain in vocabulary and grammatical structures; errors minor, but frequent.
Band 3	7–8	Tritaing to death, compotent, it plain in vocabalary and grammatical caracteres, circle minor, but nequent.
24.14.0		W3: Occasional precision and / or interest in choice of words.
		W4: Accurate if repetitive sentence structures
		W5: Minor but frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
		Writing is clear and accurate in places, and uses limited vocabulary and grammatical structures; errors occasionally serious.
Band 4	5–6	g a creation of grant and a creation of grant and grant
		W3: Plain but mostly correct choice of words.
		W4: Correct use of simple sentence structures; some errors of sentence separation.
		W5: Frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
		Writing is simple in vocabulary and grammar; overall meaning can be followed, but errors are distracting and sometimes
Band 5	3–4	impair communication.
		W3: Words may sometimes communicate meaning satisfactorily.
		W4: Frequent weakness in sentence structures.
		W5: Errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impair communication.
		Writing is weak in vocabulary and grammar; persistent errors impede communication.
Band 6	1–2	
		W3: Insufficient language to carry intended meaning.
		W4: Faulty and/or rambling sentence structures.
		W5: Persistent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impede communication.
Band 7	0	Writing is impossible to follow. Language proficiency is lacking; incorrect sentences; multiple errors of spelling, punctuation
	1	and grammar.